

REMARKS

Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the present U.S. Patent application. Claims 1-18 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102. Claims 7-18 have been amended. Claims 1-6 have been canceled. Claim 19 has been added. Therefore, by this amendment, claims 7-19 are pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102Rejection of Claims 1-18

Claims 1-18 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102 as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,522,530 issued to Bang (*Bang*). Claims 1-6 have been canceled without prejudice. Therefore, the rejection of claims 1-6 as being anticipated by *Bang* is moot. For at least the reasons set forth below, Applicant submits that claims 7-18 are not anticipated by *Bang*.

Amended claim 7 recites the following:

an enclosure having a front portion; and
a display device having a movable coupling attached within the enclosure, to tiltably attach an end of the display device within the enclosure and enable viewing of the display device at multiple angles relative to the front portion.

A proper rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 102 requires that a single prior art reference teach each and every element of the rejected claim. See MPEP § 2131.

Bang discloses a computer system having a monitor capable of being tilted and swiveled with respect to a main body of the computer system, wherein the monitor is connected to the main body of the computer system, yet allow a plurality of viewing angles. See col. 2, lines 5-9. The computer system is a personal computer, and more specifically, either a desktop computer or a notebook computer. See col. 1, lines 36-51.

A swiveling member and a tilting member are provided between a monitor and the main body of the computer system. See col. 3, lines 61-63. The swiveling member allows the monitor to be suspended from the main body and swiveled in a horizontal direction, while the tilting member, which is a piece separate from the swiveling member, allows the monitor to be tilted in the vertical direction. See col. 3, lines 63-67. The tilting member is attached to the monitor, while the swiveling member is attached to a coupling part that is attached to the main body. See col. 5, lines 11-21; Fig. 2 and Fig. 4.

(1) Bang does not disclose a display device coupled within an enclosure of a rack mounted server. Bang discloses a monitor attached to a desktop or a laptop computer, and would not be useful in a rack because of the limited space. In addition, Bang does not disclose coupling an end of the display device within the computer device. The monitor in Bang is attached to a coupling part that is attached to the swiveling member, which is attached directly to the main body. As a result, Bang does not disclose a display device having a movable coupling attached within an enclosure of a rack mounted server, to tiltably attach an end of the display device within the enclosure. Thus, Bang fails to teach all of the elements of claim 7. Consequently, claim 7 is not anticipated by Bang for at least the reasons set forth above. Applicant therefore respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw the rejection of claim 7 under 35 U.S.C. § 102.

Claims 8-13 depend from claim 7. Because dependent claims include the limitations of the claims from which they depend, Applicant submits that claims 8-13 are not anticipated by Bang for at least the reasons set forth above.

Amended claim 14 recites the following:

a movable coupling within a rack mount appliance;
a display device, attached to the movable coupling, to integrate an end of the display device within the rack mount appliance and tiltably attach the display device with the rack mount appliance.

As explained above, *Bang* does not disclose a display device attached to a movable coupling, to integrate an end of a display device within a rack mount appliance. Thus, *Bang* fails to teach all of the elements of claim 14. Consequently, claim 14 is not anticipated by *Bang* for at least the reasons set forth above. Applicant therefore respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw the rejection of claim 14 under 35 U.S.C. § 102.

Claims 15-17 and 19 depend from claim 14. Because dependent claims include the limitations of the claims from which they depend, Applicant submits that claims 15-17 are not anticipated by *Bang* for at least the reasons set forth above.

Amended claim 18 recites the following:

a rack mount server with an integrated display device having an end of the display device coupled within the rack mount server to provide an adjustable viewing angle.

As explained above, *Bang* does not disclose a rack mount server with an integrated display device having an end of the display device coupled within the rack mount server. Thus, *Bang* fails to teach all of the elements of claim 18. Consequently, claim 18 is not anticipated by *Bang* for at least the reasons set forth above. Applicant therefore respectfully requests that the Examiner withdraw the rejection of claim 18 under 35 U.S.C. § 102.

CONCLUSION


For at least the foregoing reasons, Applicant submits that the rejections have been overcome. Therefore, claims 7-19 are in condition for allowance and such action is earnestly solicited. The Examiner is respectfully requested to contact the undersigned by telephone if such contact would further the examination of the present application.

Please charge any shortages and credit any overcharges to our Deposit Account number 02-2666.

Respectfully submitted,
BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN, LLP

Date:

June 5, 2003



Joseph A. Pugh
Attorney for Applicant
Reg. No. 52,137

12400 Wilshire Boulevard
Seventh Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90025-1026
(503) 684-6200